

BUREAU OF SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT

Date: January 23, 2007

School: RideOut Elementary School

School District: Clay County

REQUIREMENTS	PROGRESS TOWARD MEETING REQUIREMENTS Report progress toward meeting accountability requirements in the appropriate cells below
HIGHLY QUALIFIED CERTIFIED ADMINISTRATORS	☐ No Changes in Administration have taken place since the last report.
HIGHLY QUALIFIED TEACHERS	X No changes in instructional staff have taken place since the last report. There are no instructional vacancies at this time. 1 Vacancy – EHSC – Long Term X All teachers are certified and teaching in-field. Substitute
TEACHER MENTORING ACTIVITIES	 NBCT – Weekly Mentor Session (8 teachers) Monthly Grade Level Team Meetings New Faculty paired with Peer Teacher for mentoring Learning Communities (Administration Candidates) – Varied Subjects
EXTENDED LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES	 Before/After School Tutoring Oct. – Dec. with K-6 gr., 100% Level 1 & 2 students using Successmaker Software. Saturday School – 3rd through 6th grade students, all levels beginning in January using FCAT explorer, Harcourt Math, with Progress Reports.

	Name of Assessment Used:	DIBELS										
	Grade	Baseline		2 nd	%	3 rd	%	Total %				
	Assessed	Data (September)		Progress Report (January)	Change	Progress Report (April)	Change	Change				
	Grade - Kindergarten	·						·				
	% meeting high standards Level 3+	71.6		61.8	-9.8							
	Level 2	21.1		32.1	+11							
READING	Level 1	8.2		6.1	-2.1							
	Grade – 1 st grade											
	% meeting high standards Level 3+	86.8		80.8	-6.0							
	Level 2	9.4		15.2	+5.8							
	Level 1	3.8		4	+0.2							
	Grade – 2 nd grade	Grade – 2 nd grade										
	% meeting high standards Level 3+	77		76.7	-0.3							
	Level 2	14.1		9.8	-4.3							
	Level 1	8.9		13.5	+4.6							
	Grade – 3 rd grade	Grade – 3 rd grade										
	% meeting high standards Level 3+	70.4		68.7	-1.7							
	Level 2	24		25.3	+1.3							
	Level 1	5.6		6	+0.4							
	Grade – 4 th grade											
	% meeting high standards Level 3+	67.9		67.8	-0.1							
	Level 2	21.4		22.4	+1.0							
	Level 1	10.7		9.9	-0.8							

Mid Year Report is due January 25th

Curriculum Area/Benchmarl	k: Oral Reading F	luency				
Name of Assessment Used	: DIBELS					
Grade	Baseline	2 nd	%	_ 3 rd	%	Total %
Assessed	Data (September)	Progress Report (January)	Change	Progress Report (April)	Change	Change
Grade – 5 TH grade		(Canadary)		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
% meeting high standards Level 3+	71.4	72.3	+0.9			
Level 2	16.0	17	+1.0			
Level 1	12.6	10.7	-1.9			
Grade – 6 th grade						
% meeting high standards Level 3+	54.8	66.7	+11.9			
Level 2	34.9	27	-7.9			
Level 1	10.2	6.3	-3.9			

READING

- DIBELS assessment
- Kindergarten Initial Sound Fluency
- First Sixth grade Oral Reading Fluency
- Level 3 Low Risk, Level 2- Moderate Risk, Level 1 High Risk

Strategy:

- Before/After School tutoring for Level 1 & 2 students in K-6 grade with the focus on various reading skills using Success Maker.
- Saturday School tutoring for all levels $3^{rd} 6^{th}$ grade using a variety of reading skills.

Impact:

• Teacher and students awareness of reading deficiencies and implementation of strategies that provide assistance.

Type of Essay: Combi	ned Clay W	rites & 2006	FCAT W	rites				
Grade	Baseline	1 st	%	2 nd	%	3 rd	%	Total %
Assessed	Data	Progress	Change	Progress	Change	Progress	Change	Change
	(2006	Report		Report		Report		
	FCAT	(August)		(November)		(April)		
	Writes)							
Grade - 4								
Graue - 4								
% meeting high	79	15		60	+45			
standards: Score 3.5+								
Score: 2-3	21	60		32	-28			
Score: NS- 1.5	0	25		8	-17			

WRITING

• Data is based on Aug./Nov. Clay Writes Performance for 4th Grade.

Strategies:

• All 4th grade teachers attended Melissa Forney's Razzle Dazzle Workshop and are implementing strategies learned as a framework for instruction.

Curriculum Area/Benchmark: Science												
Name of Assessment l	Name of Assessment Used: Harcourt Assessment											
Grade	Baseline	1 st	%	2 nd	%	3 rd	%	Total %				
Assessed	Data	Progress	Change	Progress	Change	Progress	Change	Change				
	(2006	Report		Report		Report		8				
	FCAT)			(January)		(April)						
Grade - 5												
% meeting high	41	14		50	+36							
standards Level 3+												
Level 2	41	25		19	-6							
Level 1	18	61		31	-30							

SCIENCE

Strategy:

- Level 1 & 2 students used *Measuring UP*
- Teacher emphasis on Student Participation and Hands-on learning.

Level 3 and above – 70% and above Level 2 – 60 – 69 % Level 1 – below 59 %



	Curriculum Area/Be Name of Assessme			surement, Geometry, Pa	tterns, Data-Prob	ability							
	Grade Assessed	Baseline Data (Diagnostic)	2nd Benchmark Assessment (December)	Free/Reduced Students Baseline Diagnostic	Benchmark Assessment (December)								
	Grade: Kindergarten												
	Number Sense	50	73	43	64								
MATHEMATICS	Measurement	67	NT	42	NT								
	Geometry	42	88	51	89								
	Patterns	46	58	41	67								
	Data, Probability	44	79	39	68								
		Grade 1 ST Grade											
	Number Sense	45	73	45	68								
	Measurement	53	75	48	71								
	Geometry	59	93	58	97								
	Patterns	35	NT	31	NT								
	Data, Probability	41	NT	41	NT								

Name of Assessment Used Clay County Math Benchmark test	Curriculum Area/Ben	chmark: Numb	per Sense, Mea	suremen	t, Geometry, Pati	terns, Data-Pro	bability	
Assessed (Data (Diagnostic) Resessment (Diagnostic) Resessment (December) Resessment Research (December) Research	Name of Assessmen	t Used Clay C	ounty Math Ben	chmark t	est			
Chagnostic Assessment (December) Baseline Diagnostic Checember) Checember Chec	Grade	Baseline	_		Free/Reduced	Benchmark		
Company Comp	Assessed							
Grade: 2nd Grade Stephoto Number Sense 54 86 52 86		(Diagnostic)				(December)		
Number Sense 54 86 52 86			(December)		Diagnostic			
Measurement 58 NT 58 NT Geometry 66 84 65 86 Patterns 48 81 44 71 Data, Probability 53 59 48 53 Grade 3 rd Grade Number Sense 54 80 49 85 Measurement 63 82 58 82 Geometry 51 82 45 83 Patterns 52 80 47 75	Grade: 2 nd Grade							
Geometry 66 84 65 86	Number Sense	54	86		52	86		
Geometry 66 84 65 86								
Patterns 48 81 44 71	Measurement	58	NT		58	NT		
Patterns 48 81 44 71								
Patterns 48 81 44 71	Geometry	66	84		65	86		
Data, Probability 53 59 48 53	,							
Data, Probability 53 59 48 53	Patterns	48	81		44	71		
Grade 3 rd Grade Number Sense 54 80 49 85								
Grade 3 rd Grade 49 85 80 Measurement 63 82 58 82 Geometry 51 82 45 83 9 Patterns 52 80 47 75 9	Data. Probability	53	59		48	53		
Number Sense 54 80 49 85 85 Measurement 63 82 58 82 82 Geometry 51 82 45 83 83 Patterns 52 80 47 75 75	, ,							
Number Sense 54 80 49 85 Measurement 63 82 58 82 Geometry 51 82 45 83 Patterns 52 80 47 75	Grade 3 rd Grade							
Measurement 63 82 58 82 Geometry 51 82 45 83 Patterns 52 80 47 75		54	80		49	85		
Geometry 51 82 45 83 Patterns 52 80 47 75								
Geometry 51 82 45 83 Patterns 52 80 47 75	Measurement	63	82		58	82		
Patterns 52 80 47 75	Wododromont	00	UZ.			02		
Patterns 52 80 47 75	Geometry	51	82		45	83		
	Coomony		02		70	00		
	Patterns	52	80		47	75		
Data, Probability 43 70 39 62	Tattoris	52			71	13		
Data, Frobability 45 / 70 39 02	Data Probability	12	70		30	62		
	Data, Flobability	43	/0		39	OZ		

Name of Assessmer				t, Geometry, Patt est	•		
Grade Assessed	Baseline Data (Diagnostic)	2nd Benchmark		Free/Reduced Students Baseline Diagnostic	Benchmark Assessment (December)		
Grade: 4 th Grade							
Number Sense	52	51		45	55		
Measurement	58	62		54	36		
Geometry	53	46		50	60		
Patterns	38	78		36	76		
Data, Probability	30	NT		19	NT		
Grade 5 TH Grade							
Number Sense	38	48		41	44		
Measurement	52	NT		49	NT		
Geometry	32	NT	I	33	NT		
Geometry	32	INI		33	INI		
Patterns	14	75		13	73		
Data, Probability	11	64		9	64		

Curriculum Area/Benchmar	k: Number Sense, I	Measurement, Geom	etry, Patterns, Da	ata-Probability						
Name of Assessment Used Clay County Math Benchmark test										
Grade	Baseline	Mid-Year	Percentage							
Assessed	Data	Assessment	Increase							
	(Diagnostic)	(December)								
O I oth O I										
Grade: 6 th Grade										
Regular Education	62	64	2							
Free/Reduced Sub-group	57	67	10							

MATHEMATICS

- NT refers to strand not tested on Benchmark assessment.
- All strands will be assessed by the end of the year.
- RideOut Elementary is currently 1 or 7 schools piloting Clay County's Math Curriculum Mapping program "Targeting Math Success"
- All scores shown as percentiles.
- 6th Grade used a Pre- and Midterm test since there is no benchmark tests yet for that grade level.
- Free/Reduced subgroup was addressed since that was the only area where AYP was not met in 2005-2006.



School Wide Improvement Updates

*Baseline Data: baseline data is compared to current assessment data to calculate changes in student performance. Data used should measure the same skills or benchmarks as assessments given earlier in the school year.

**Comparable Data: using valid and reliable assessment items and administered regularly(monthly or quarterly) by the district or school to the same students, measuring the same benchmarks, using the same test item specifications with the same degree of difficulty.)

Directions for Using the Data Chart

- 1. Insert the curriculum area and/or benchmark assessed.
- 2. Insert the name of the assessment used.
- 3. Insert the grade levels assessed.
- 4. Insert the assessment data in the appropriate column for the reporting period.
- 5. Enter a narrative explaining the data in the space provided under the data table. The space will expand as needed to accommodate the length of the narrative.